Recognizing And Understanding AntiPatterns In Java Application Development

ExxonMobil Research & Engineering Co. Clinton, New Jersey

Michael P. Redlich (908) 730-3416 michael.p.redlich@exxonmobil.com

About Myself

- Degree
 - B.S. In Computer Science
 - Rutgers University (Go Scarlet Knights!)
- ExxonMobil Research & Engineering
 - Senior Research Technician (1988-1998, 2004-Present)
 - Systems Analyst (1998-2002)
- Ai-Logix, Inc.
 - Technical Support Engineer (2003-2004)
- ACGNJ
 - Java Users Group Leader
- Publications
 - James: The Java Apache Mail Enterprise Server
 - + Co-Authored With Barry Burd
 - + Java Boutique

- Recurring solutions to software design problems that are repeatedly found in real-world application development
- Are about the <u>design</u> and <u>interaction</u> of objects

Gang of Four (GoF)

- Erich Gamma
- Richard Helm
- Ralph Johnson
- John Vlissides
- Design Patterns Elements of Reusable Object-Oriented Software
 - ISBN 0-201-63361-2
 - 1995

What are AntiPatterns?

- Opposite of a design pattern
- A classified bad design
- Designed for the developer to understand problems with bad solutions
- Serve two important purposes:
 - To Help Identify Problems
 - To Help Implement Solutions
- Next generation of design patterns research
- A design pattern becomes an antipattern when it causes more problems than it solves

Anti Gang of Four (AGoF)???

- William H. Brown
- Raphael C. Malveau
- Hays W. "Skip" McCormick III
- Thomas J. Mowbray
- AntiPatterns Refactoring Software, Architectures, and Projects in Crisis
 - ISBN 0-471-19713-0
 - 1998

Evolution of AntiPatterns

- The intended range and scope of using design patterns was never fully expressed
- 1977
 - Christopher Alexander documented a pattern language for the planning of towns and buildings
- 1987
 - Ward Cunningham and Kent Beck developed a design pattern language for SmallTalk
- 1994
 - Hillside Group hosted the first industry conference on design patterns, Pattern Languages of Program Design (PLoP)
- 1995
 - The Gang of Four released "Design Patterns Elements of Reusable Object-Oriented Software

Evolution of AntiPatterns (continued)

- The tremendous growth of design patterns also had a "dark side"
- 1996
 - Michael Akroyd presented "AntiPatterns: Vaccinations against Object Misuse" at the 1996 Object World West conference
- The usefulness of antipatterns began almost in parallel with design patterns

How AntiPatterns Happen

- A manager or developer:
 - Not knowing any better
 - Not having sufficient knowledge or experience
 - Applying a perfectly good design pattern in the wrong context

Using AntiPatterns

- Don't use them in a destructive manner
- The absence of antipatterns does not guarantee success
- Don't need to address every antipattern to be successful
- "If it's not broken, don't fix it"
- Attempting to correct several antipatterns simultaneously is risky
- The purpose is to develop strategies that fix problems as they arise
- Implement an antipattern solution only if the technical staff has the required skills

AntiPattern Types

- Software Development
- Software Architecture
- Software Project Management

Software Development AntiPatterns

- The Blob
- Continuous Obsolescence*
- Lava Flow
- Ambiguous Viewpoint*
- Functional Decomposition
- Poltergeists
- Boat Anchor*

- Golden Hammer
- Dead End*
- Spaghetti Code
- Input Kludge*
- Walking Through A Minefield*
- Cut-and-Paste Programming
- Mushroom Management*

* denotes Mini-AntiPattern

Spaghetti Code

- Background
 - Classic and most famous antipattern
 - Has existed in some form since the early days of programming languages
 - Structured programming languages are most susceptible
- General Form
 - A program that lacks real structure
 - The software structure is compromised to the extent that the structure lacks clarity
 - Having a small number of objects with very large implementations
 - Software that is very difficult to maintain and extend
 - No opportunity for code reuse

Spaghetti Code (continued)

- Symptoms and Consequences
 - Methods are process-oriented
 - There are minimal relationships among objects
 - The software quickly reaches a point of diminishing returns
- Typical Causes
 - Inexperience
 - No mentoring
 - Ineffective code reviews
 - No design

Spaghetti Code (continued)

- Refactored Solution
 - Refactoring, refactoring, refactoring!
 - Prevention is the best way to resolve this antipattern
 - Refactor spaghetti code to a more maintainable form
 - + Use getter/setter methods
 - + Resist the cut-and-paste antipattern
 - + Reorder method/function arguments for better consistency
 - + Remove portions of code that may be (or already are) inaccessible
 - + Rename classes, methods/functions, and data types to conform to an industry standard

Cut-and-Paste Programming

- Background
 - A very common, yet degenerate form of software reuse
 - Has good software instincts, but this technique can be over used
- General Form
 - Identified by the presence of many similar code snippets interspersed throughout a software project
 - Programmers who are learning how to develop software from the more experienced programmers
 - Code duplication

Cut-and-Paste Programming (continued)

- Symptoms and Consequences
 - The same bug(s) reoccur throughout the application
 - It becomes difficult to locate and fix all instances of a mistake
 - Leads to excessive software maintenance costs
- Typical Causes
 - Excessive effort to create quality reusable code
 - The intent behind a software module is not preserved with the code
 - Reusable components are not sufficiently documented
 - Unfamiliarity with new technology or tools

Cut-and-Paste Programming (continued)

- Refactored Solution
 - Modify code to emphasize black-box reuse
 - Effective code refactoring requires three stages:
 - + Code mining
 - + Refactoring
 - + Configuration management

Software Architecture AntiPatterns

- Autogenerated Stovepipe*
- Stovepipe Enterprise
- Jumble*
- Stovepipe System
- Cover Your Assets*
- Vendor Lock-In
- Wolf Ticket*

- Architecture By Implication
- Warm Bodies*
- Design By Committee
- Swiss Army Knife*
- Reinvent The Wheel
- The Grand Old Duke Of York*

* denotes Mini-AntiPattern

Vendor Lock-In

- Background
 - WYSISLWYG syndrome
 - Difficult to avoid due to an organizational dependence of a vendor's products
- General Form
 - A software project adopts a vendor's product technology and becomes completely dependent on it
 - Problems may arise due to vendor product upgrades

Vendor Lock-In (continued)

- Symptoms and Consequences
 - Commercial vendor product upgrades dictate the software maintenance cycle
 - Features promised by the vendor are delayed or never delivered
 - A vendor's product upgrade varies significantly from the advertised open systems standard
- Typical Causes
 - The vendor's product is selected based solely on marketing and sales information

Vendor Lock-In (continued)

- Refactored Solution
 - Isolation layer
 - + Separates application software from vendor product-dependent interfaces
 - The isolation layer solution can be used under the following conditions:
 - + Isolation of application software from lower-level infrastructure
 - + Anticipated changes to the infrastructure
 - + A more convenient programming interface is useful or necessary
 - + There is a need for consistent infrastructure handling across many systems

Reinvent The Wheel

- Background
 - Software reuse and design reuse are significantly different paradigms
 - Greenfield System (alias of Reinvent the Wheel)
- General Form
 - Custom software is built from the ground up
 - Software reuse is limited and interoperability is accommodated after the fact
 - Greenfield System Assumptions
 - + Eventually become stovepipes
 - + Mismatched to most real-world software development challenges

Reinvent The Wheel (continued)

- Symptoms and Consequences
 - Closed system architectures
 - Replication of commercial software functions
 - Inadequate support for change management
- Typical Causes
 - No communication and technology transfer between software projects
 - Assumption that the software project will be built from scratch
 - Absence of an explicit architecture process

Reinvent The Wheel (continued)

- Refactored Solution
 - Architecture mining
 - + Valuable information can be found in precursor designs:
 - Legacy systems, commercial products, standards, prototypes, design patterns
 - + It is typical to find about six precursor designs
 - + Bottom-up design approach

Software Project Management AntiPatterns

- Blowhard Jamboree*
- Analysis Paralysis
- Viewgraph Engineering*
- Death by Planning
- Fear of Success*
- Corncob
- Intellectual Violence*

- Irrational Management
- Smoke and Mirrors*
- Project Mismanagement
- Throw It Over The Wall*
- Fire Drill*
- The Feud*
- E-Mail is Dangerous*

* denotes Mini-AntiPattern

Analysis Paralysis

- Background
 - A misconception that designs never fail, only implementations
 - Prolonging the analysis and design phases avoids risking accountability for results
- General Form
 - Occurs when the goal is to achieve perfection and completeness of the analysis phase
 - Characterized by turnover and revision of models
 - Usually involves waterfall assumptions
 - The analysis documents no longer make sense to the domain experts

Analysis Paralysis (continued)

- Symptoms and Consequences
 - Multiple project restarts
 - Cost of analysis exceeds expectation
 - The analysis no longer involves user interaction
 - The complexity of the analysis results in intricate implementations
- Typical Causes
 - Managers over specify and over supervise assignments
 - Management has more confidence in their ability to analyze and decompose as opposed to design and implement
 - Goals in the analysis phase are not well-defined

Analysis Paralysis (continued)

- Refactored Solution
 - Incremental development is key to success of object-oriented development
 - + All phases of the process occur with each iteration
 - Analysis, design, coding, test, validation
 - + Internal increment
 - + External increment

Project Mismanagement

- Background
 - Concerns the monitoring and controlling of software projects
 - Occurs after planning and during the analysis, design, construction, and testing of a project
- General Form
 - Key activities are often overlooked or minimized
 - + Technical planning
 - + Quality control
 - + Inadequate architecture definition and test coverage
 - + Insufficient code reviews

Project Mismanagement (continued)

- Symptoms and Consequences
 - The design is difficult to implement due to a lack of an architectural strategy
 - Code reviews happen infrequently
 - The test design requires extra effort due to an inadequately defined behavioral guideline
- Typical Causes
 - The technical criteria for code inspection, testing, integration, and interoperability due to an inadequate architecture

Project Mismanagement (continued)

- Refactored Solution
 - Three categories of proper risk management
 - + Managerial
 - Processes
 - Roles
 - + Common Project Failure Points
 - Cost overruns
 - Premature project termination
 - Development of the wrong project
 - Technical failure

Project Mismanagement (continued)

- Refactored Solution (continued)
 - Three categories of proper risk management (continued)
 - + Quality
 - Program and project management
 - Product identification
 - Architecture definition
 - Solution design
 - Solution implementation
 - Solution validation
 - Product support

Resources

- Design Patterns Elements of Resusable Object-Oriented Software
 - Erich Gamma, et. al
 - ISBN 0-201-63361-2
- AntiPatterns Refactoring Software, Architectures, and Projects in Crisis
 - William H. Brown, et. al
 - ISBN 0-471-19713-0
- An Introduction to AntiPatterns in Java Applications
 - Puneet Sangal
 - http://www.devx.com/Java/Article/29162/1954/